

(b) whether it is a fact that complaints have been received that the implements in these godowns have been misused ;

(c) the districts from which such complaints have been received ;

(d) who audits the materials in these godowns ;

(e) whether audit reports have been given ;

(f) whether these godowns had been audited annually ;

(g) the reasons for not auditing them ;

(h) whether there are Auditors in the Agricultural Department ?

A.—Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA (Minister for Agriculture).—

(a) Yes.

(b) No.

(c) This does not arise in view of reply (b) above.

(d) The Assistant Directors of Agriculture have been inspecting the accounts and stocks of these godowns.

(e) Yes.

(f) Yes. Accounts of some of the godowns are also periodically test-audited by the staff of the Accountant General's Office.

(g) Does not arise in view of reply (f) above.

(h) Yes, but there is no staff exclusively for auditing the accounts of these godowns.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎಫ್. ಬೋರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—ಯಾವ ಗೋಡ್ಡೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿನ ಪದಾರ್ಥಗಳು ಹೋಗಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿ ದ್ದೀರಿ, ಅಲ್ಲಗೆ, ಯಾವುದೂ ಹೋಗಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಭಾವಿಸುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

ಡಾ॥ ಆರ್. ನಾಗನಗೌಡ.—ನೀವು ಯಾವುದಕ್ಕೆ refer ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದೀರೋ ನನಗೆ ಅರ್ಥವಾಗುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎಫ್. ಬೋರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—ಈಗ ನೀವು ಕೆಲವು ಕಡೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ enquiry ಯನ್ನು ನಡೆಸಲಕ್ಕೆ ಏರ್ಪಾಡುಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಲ್ಲಾ ; ಹಾಗೆ ಏರ್ಪಾಡುಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನು ? ಸಾಮಾನುಗಳು ಹೋಗಿವೆಯೆಂದು ತಾನೆ ತಾವು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದು ? ಸಾಮಾನುಗಳು ಹೋಗಿವೆಯೆಂದು enquiry ನಡೆಸಲಕ್ಕೆ ಏರ್ಪಾಡು ಮಾಡಿದೀರಾ ಅಥವಾ ಹೋಗಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಮಾಡಿದೀರಾ ? ಡಾ॥ ಆರ್. ನಾಗನಗೌಡ.—ದೂರುಗಳು ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ನಾನು ಉತ್ತರ ಹೇಳಿರುವುದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎಫ್. ಬೋರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—ಈಗ ಮೂರು ನಾಲ್ಕು ಡಿಪ್ತಿಕ್ಸ್‌ಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಎಕ್ಸ್‌ಪೈರಿ ನಡೆಸಲಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನು ?

ಡಾ॥ ಆರ್. ನಾಗನಗೌಡ.—ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯೇ ಇಲ್ಲ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲವಲ್ಲಾ !

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ವಿ. ಬೈರೆಗೌಡ.—ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಸೆಂಟ್ರಲ್ ಗೋಡ್ಡೆಗಳನ್ನು ಆಡಿಟ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೀರಾ ?

ಡಾ॥ ಆರ್. ನಾಗನಗೌಡ.—ನೋಟೀಸ್.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ವಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ಬೆಂಗಳೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಸೆಂಟ್ರಲ್ ಗೋಡ್ಡೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿನ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ತಮಗೇನಾದರೂ ದೂರು ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ ?

ಡಾ॥ ಆರ್. ನಾಗನಗೌಡ.—ನೋಟೀಸ್ ಬೇಕು.

12-30 P.M.

S. No. 423 of Doddasiddavvanahally (Acquisition for extension).

Q.—423. Sri G. DUGGAPPA (Holalkere—Scheduled Castes).—

Will the Government be pleased to state.—

(a) whether S. No. 408 of Doddasiddavvanahalli, Chitaldrug Taluk, was acquired for the purpose of village extension ;

(b) whether any portion of the land was exclusively set apart for the use of the Harijans ;

(c) the number and date of Government Order acquiring the said land ;

(d) how many stay orders were issued by the Government to withhold the Government Order acquiring the said land ;

(e) whether the stay order was vacated ; if so, when ;

(f) the number and date of the latest Government Order in this behalf ?

A.—Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA (Chief Minister).—

(a) Yes.

(b) No.

(c) No. L. B. 8216-20—V. P. 4-51-66, dated 20th November 1951.

(d) Two.

(e) Yes ; (i) 31st March 1952, (ii) 24th June 1952.

(f) No. L. B. 12245—V. P. 11-53-73, dated 16th January 1954.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ದುಗ್ಗಪ್ಪ.—ಒಂದು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಹುಕುಂ ಆಗಿದೆಯೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಲ್ಲಾ, ಆ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಹುಕುಂನಲ್ಲಿ ಏನೆಯಾದಾಗಲಿ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯಾಗಿದೆ ? What is the final Government Order ?

* Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—The final Government Order sanctions the acquisition as was originally ordered.

Sri G. DUGGAPPA.—What was the recommendation of the Deputy Commissioner in regard to the acquisition of Survey No. 408 of Doddasiddavvanahalli?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—He was in favour of acquisition.

Sri G. DUGGAPPA.—Then, is it not a fact that the Deputy Commissioner recommended for reservation of half of this Survey Number for the use of Harijans?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—I have answered that question.

Sri G. DUGGAPPA.—But the answer says 'No'. To the question 'whether any portion of the land was exclusively set apart for the use of Harijans', the answer is 'No'. Is it not a fact that the Deputy Commissioner recommended that half of this Survey Number should be exclusively set apart for the Harijans?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—It is for the use of the Harijans—the whole land.

Sri G. DUGGAPPA.—What are the further steps that they have taken regarding this?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Acquisition has been ordered and now proceedings will begin—sites will be marked and distributed and further proceedings will follow.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Was not the Order of 20th November 1951, final?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Yes.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Was not the revision application rejected on 5th February 1952?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—It is a question of continuous rejection.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—In spite of that, what was the provision of law under which another petition was received by the Government even after continuous rejections and the issue of stay order?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—When a duly stamped petition is given, we have to take it and pass orders thereon.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Even after 4 or 5 rejections can you pass at the same time stay orders and delay the proceedings taken under the Acquisition Act?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Stay orders can be given in suitable cases.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—After how many years? One in the year 1951; rejection in March 1952; rejection in April 1952, rejection in June 1952 and again rejection by the High Court and after that, is it proper that Government should stay further proceedings in spite of these rejections?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—I am very glad that the Hon'ble Member has put the question so emphatically. It is most improper to interfere with those cases; it is as the result of interference, these things happen.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Is it not the Government that have interfered with the free flow of action under the Acquisition Act and is it not the obstructionist policy that the Government have been pursuing in this respect instead of helping in the free acquisition?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—I very strongly repudiate the insinuation made by the Hon'ble Member. On the other hand, interference lies on the part of some members whom I do not want to name.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Was it under the Acquisition Act that they entertained petitions or was it under the Land Revenue Act that they entertained petitions? What was the Section under which they had any such right?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—The Government have always the right to issue stay orders. This is not the first time that stay orders have been issued. Stay orders are being issued ever since the Government came into existence.

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—How many times can the Government issue stay orders?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The same question is being repeated. Any other question?

Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.—Will not the Government at least now take immediate steps to see that the persons who have been suffering from malaria

slum area, are accommodated in this area?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—It is the Government's intention to do its duty.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ಈ ಮೆಂಬರುಗಳು ಮಧ್ಯ ಪ್ರವೇಶ ಮಾಡಿದುದರಿಂದ ಪೀಗೇಲ್ವಾ ನಿಧಾನ ಮತ್ತು ತೊಂದರೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಅವಕಾಶವಾಯಿತೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಅದಕಾರಣ ಇನ್ನು ಮುಂದೆ ಅವರು ಪ್ರವೇಶ ಮಾಡಿದಾಗ್ಯೂ ಸ್ಟೇಗೆ ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಕೊಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲ, ಡಿಲೇ ಮಾಡುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು, ಸರ್ಯಾರದವರು ಒಂದು ಅಪ್ಪಾಯಿಂಟ್ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೀರಾ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—I very much wish to give such an assurance.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ.—ಈಗ ತಾವು ತಿಳಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಇಂಟರ್‌ಫಿಯರ್ ಮಾಡಿದಂಥ ಮೆಂಬರುಗಳೆಲ್ಲಾ ಕಾಂಗ್ರೆಸ್ ಪಾರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಸೇರಿದವರಲ್ಲವೇ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—This is an insinuation which I do not accept. On the other hand, if I disclose, the fault lies with both the sides.

Sri N. C. NAGAI AH REDDY.—Is it not disgraceful to say that they have issued stay orders only because some members interfered in this matter?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—I must take objection to the words that are being used. This is not the first time that the Hon'ble Member has resorted to those words. I refuse to answer the question.

Sri N. C. NAGAI AH REDDY.—It is quite graceful to ask.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Any other question?

Sri N. C. NAGAI AH REDDY.—It is said that because certain members interfered in the matter, stay orders have been passed. Is it not disgraceful?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Is there no other word for that?

Sri N. C. NAGAI AH REDDY.—It is quite the apt word.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The member might have used the word 'improper' instead of the word 'disgraceful'. There are so many other words.

Sri N. C. NAGAI AH REDDY.—It does not carry the same meaning.

Depressed Class Amelioration Fund in Bangalore Corporation Area and its utilisation.

Q.—319. **Sri R. ANANTARAMAN** (Chamarajpet).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the amount of money provided during this year to the Depressed Class Amelioration Fund in the Corporation of the City of Bangalore;

(b) whether there is a committee to distribute the above funds in the Corporation area;

(c) the number of houses built for the depressed class people in the Corporation area during this year;

(d) whether the Corporation approached the Government for loans to remove such slums?

A.—**Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA** (Chief Minister).—

(a) Rs. 50,000.

(b) No.

(c) 93 (88 completed, 5 incomplete).

(d) Yes.

Sri R. ANANTARAMAN.—With reference to answer (b), may I know which is the agency that distributes the Depressed Class Amelioration Fund in the Corporation area?

***Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.**—I think it is the Corporation, subject to correction.

Sri R. ANANTARAMAN.—In other Districts is it not the agency consisting of non-officials and members of the Legislature that distributes the funds?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—If there is any provision, I will constitute the Committee.

Sri R. ANANTARAMAN.—Is it not correct that only the Commissioner distributes these funds and not the Corporation?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Yes, necessarily the executive work has to be done by the Executive Officer.

Sri R. ANANTARAMAN.—Will you kindly see that non-officials are also included so that it will be easy to distribute the funds expeditiously?